

Town of Gates

1605 Buffalo Road Rochester, New York 14624 585-247-6100

Meeting Minutes

June 14, 2021

MEMBERS PRESENT: Christine Maurice, Chairperson; Don Ioannone;

Bill Kiley; Dave Ferris; Alan Redfern

MEMBER(S) NOT PRESENT: Don Rutherford

ALSO PRESENT: Robert J. Mac Claren, Esq., Board Attorney

Cosmo Giunta, Town Supervisor and Zoning

Board liaison

A public hearing of the Gates Zoning Board of Appeals was called to order by **CHAIRPERSON MAURICE** at 7:30 p.m. at the Gates Town Hall. **CHAIRPERSON MAURICE** explained the purpose and procedure of the Zoning Board.

* * * * *

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE - Explains process and role of the ZBA;

CHARIPERSON MAURICE – first order of business is to accept minutes from May, 2021 meeting; no changes, additions or corrections

MOTION – MR IOANNONE Second - MR FERRIS

All in favor, minutes approved

Application No. 1

THE APPLICATION OF CHESTER BRASSIE REQUESTING AN AREA VARIANCE FROM ARTICLE VIII, SECTION 190-36 TO CONSTRUCT A LIVING ROOM ADDITION WHICH WILL ENCROACH INTO THE REQUIRED FRONT YARD SETBACK ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 334 YOUNGS AVENUE.

CHESTER BRASSIE – 334 Youngs Avenue; looking to add a living room addition, recently had a baby and house is just over 900 square feet, need more living space; proposing a 20 x 20 addition off side of house; house was built 24 feet from the road, does not meet 35 feet criteria; keep in line with the house and go straight up;

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – describe what it will look like; same siding? **MR BRASSIE** – exact same siding, will all match aesthetically; not going up to second floor; match height of garage on opposite side of house

MR KILEY – are you doing the work?

MR BRASSIE - yes

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – variance of 10.8 feet; require 35; only front setback that requires a variance

MR IOANNONE – continuity?

MR BRASSIE - yes

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – SEQRA type 2 action, no further environmental impact study needed

PUBLIC HEARING – no one in attendance

MOTION – **MR KILEY** – Motion to accept as presented

The approval is based upon the following findings of fact, which adequately demonstrated the standards applicable to granting the application:

- 1 The Applicant sought a variance from Town of Gates Code Chapter 190, Section 36 to permit the construction of a living room addition will encroach into the front setback on property located at 334 Youngs Avenue, Town of Gates;
- 2 There were no parties who spoke in opposition of the Applicant's plea;
- 3 The Board found that the requested variance met all of the criteria for permitting the requested area variance;

4 This application involved a Type II action, under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and no further proceedings under SEQRA is required.

Second - MR REDFERN -

Member Vote Tally

Mr. Ioannone – yes

Mr. Kiley – yes

Mr. Ferris – yes

Mr. Redfern – yes

Chairperson Maurice - yes

Variance approved 5-0

Application No. 2

THE APPLICATION OF BRAD WHITE REQUESTING AN AREA VARIANCE FROM ARTICLE VIII, SECTION 190-36 TO ERECT A STORAGE BUILDING WHICH WILL ENCROACH INTO THE REQUIRED FRONT YARD SETBACK ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2551-2565 BUFFALO ROAD.

BRAD WHITE – as stated in letter of intent, looking to maximize indoor storage space; keep with look and aesthetic of existing storage building; keep with current property; tree locations on map on not exact, some mature trees will remain; roof will match to existing shingle roof on other buildings; screened by landscaping; same plan as existing office building, general balance

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – is there a reason why cannot push back? **MR WHITE** – did consider, but residential component still remaining, trying to keep separate from commercial operation; proposing fencing, keep separate laneway around; if push to the south, will close off laneway; would cause logistical problems with driving lanes

MR KILEY – 78 is a necessary dimension, cannot have 70 and be within code? **MR WHITE** – 70 would be short of face of existing building; desire to maximize storage space;

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – clarify, 2551 is house; what is lane? **MR WHITE** – eliminate existing garage, which would open up lane; park vehicles to the south in a row, east to west, facing Buffalo Road; if start moving building

down, to have a good drive lane, parking back already at limit; would be closing up lane, would be primary lane, if maintained will be restricted to only the residential portion

MR IOANNONE – where is the main entrance?

MR WHITE – doors face east; if limited, all activity through main lot; do not want to push south

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – parking?

MR WHITE – maintain a good lane through back; drive lane through front yard; space and safety concern

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE - side facing Buffalo Road, not going to have big doors or driveway?

MR WHITE – no driveway or doors; if can maintain entrance for tenants, would be landscaped and possibly a couple of windows

MR FERRIS – existing office, bump out in front?

MR WHITE – 3 or 4 feet out, not very big, one window there

MR FERRIS – give up 3 or 4 feet to put in line with main building

MR WHITE – reasonable compromise

MR KILEY – closer to code

MR WHITE – still closer; 3 or 4 feet will not make a huge difference; comes down to Board's decision, not a deal breaker

MR IOANNONE – what is the height of the building?

MR WHITE – proposed new building is slightly higher than 30 by 40; still well under height of existing garage at 51 and the single family house; will not affect any aesthetics, will still be below

MR FERRIS – front end, go with existing?

MR WHITE – can do stone, siding on a steal building is an issue

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – paint?

MR WHITE – same color, close to taupe; metal exterior finish

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – metal comes in that exact color?

MR WHITE – close, similar

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – plans to put any signage?

MR WHITE – would like to add signage; no variance needed; would need approval; would like to have a yard sign; was rejected without prejudice

MR FERRIS – eventually want sign?

MR WHITE – yes

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – SEQRA type 2, no environmental required; need to table as county response is required

ATTORNEY MAC CLAREN – dates for meetings do not always align to receive a response; it is not that there is necessarily an issue

PUBLIC HEARING – no one in attendance

MOTION – MR IOANNONE – Motion to table Second – **MR FERRIS**

Member Vote Tally

Mr. Ioannone – yes

Mr. Kiley – yes

Mr. Ferris – yes

Mr. Redfern – yes

Chairperson Maurice - yes

Variance tabled 5-0

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – no need to post sign again
ATTORNEY MAC CLAREN – cannot make a decision tonight legally; Planning
Board is not contingent on county; ZBA, can make it contingent

TABLED APPLICATION

THE APLICATION OF MITCHELLE DONNELLY, AS AGENT FOR BURGER KING RESTAURANT, REQUESTING AREA VARIANCES FROM ARTICLE V, SECTION 190-24 TO ERECT MORE WALL SIGNES THAN ALLOWED WITH LARGER SQUARE FOOTAGE THAN ALLOWED ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4 SPENCERPORT ROAD.

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – applicant not in attendance

MOTION – MR IOANONNE – Motion to lift the table Second – **MR KILEY** All in favor

ATTORNEY MAC CLAREN – recommend voting on each side of the building separately

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – asks Mr. Ferris, who was not present at the last meeting, to confirm that he is comfortable voting based on the discussion at the pre-meeting.

MR FERRIS – yes, is ready to vote CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – west side, no signs permitted; proposing one sign at 22 1/2 square feet

MOTION – MR IOANNONE – Motion to deny west side No second

MOTION – MR KILEY – Motion to approve the sign on the west side Second – MR FERRIS

Member Vote Tally

Mr. Ioannone – no

Mr. Kiley - yes

Mr. Ferris – yes

Mr. Redfern - yes

Chairperson Maurice - yes

Variance approved 4-1

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – east side; allowed one 15 square foot sign; proposing 2 signs, Burger Kings sign is 22 ½ square feet and flame grill sign is 22 square feet, which is a total of 44 ½ square feet

MOTION – MR KILEY – Motion to approve one on the east side at 22 ½; deny second sign at 22

CHAIRPERSON MAURICE – approve one allowed, size variance up to 22 ½ square feet

The approval is based upon the following findings of fact, which adequately demonstrated the standards applicable to granting the application:

- 1 The Applicant sought a variance from Town of Gates Code Chapter 190, Section 24 to permit additional signs which are to be larger than allowed on the property located at 4 Spencerport Road, Town of Gates;
- 2 There were no parties who spoke in opposition of the Applicant's plea;
- 3 The Board found that the requested variance met all of the criteria for permitting the requested area variance;
- 4 The Board received the response from the Monroe County Planning Board referring this matter back as a local matter;

5 This application involved an Unlisted action, under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and no further proceedings under SEQRA is required.

Second – MR REDFERN

Member Vote Tally

Mr. Ioannone – yes

Mr. Kiley – yes

Mr. Ferris – yes

Mr. Redfern – yes

Chairperson Maurice - yes

Variance approved 5-0

MOTION - to adjourn – **MR FERRIS** Second – **MR REDFERN**

All in favor

Respectfully submitted,

Clare M. Goodwin, Secretary Gates Zoning Board of Appeals